Application No. Applicant(s)

Interview Summary	09/982,818	MORIYAMA, YOSHIAKI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	NADIA KHOSHNOODI	2437	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			

(1) NADIA KHOSHNOODI. (3)_____

(2) MR. EBENESAR THOMAS.

(4) Date of Interview: 22 April 2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2\□ applicant's representative?

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No If Yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 18, and 32,

Identification of prior art discussed: n/a.

Agreement with respect to the claims fill was reached. a) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Attorney of Record, Mr. Ebenesar Thomas and Examiner discussed proposed amendments for the oustanding issues, such as an amendment to the title, 35 USC 101 issues with regards to the method claims, and the independent claims which are nearly identical to eachother. Mr. Thomas will contact his clients and upon approval, will authorize the Examiner to do an Examiner's Amendment on the claims.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER. TO FILE /: STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview. requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.